

roposal Title :	Kyogle LEP 2012 - Amendment No 10 - Caravan Parks in RU1 & RU2 Zones			
Proposal Summary :	The Planning Proposal aims to amend Kyogle LEP 2012 to permit the development (with consent) of caravan parks within the RU1 Primary Production and RU2 Rural Landscapes zones.			
PP Number :	PP_2016_KYOGL_002_00	Dop File No :	16/10276	
oposal Details				
Date Planning Proposal Received :	05-Aug-2016	LGA covered :	Kyogle	
Region :	Northern	RPA :	Kyogle Council	
State Electorate :	LISMORE	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal	
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning			
ocation Details				
Street :				
Suburb :	City :		Postcode :	
Land Parcel ; All				
Lanu Falcel, All	land within the Kyogle LGA that i	is zoned RU1 & RU2		
	cer Contact Details	IS ZONED RUT & RUZ		
		IS ZONED RUT & RUZ		
DoP Planning Offi	cer Contact Details	IS ZONED RUT & RUZ		
DoP Planning Offi Contact Name :	cer Contact Details Gina Davis			
DoP Planning Offi Contact Name : Contact Number :	cer Contact Details Gina Davis 0267019687 gina.davis@planning.nsw.gov.a			
DoP Planning Offi Contact Name : Contact Number : Contact Email :	cer Contact Details Gina Davis 0267019687 gina.davis@planning.nsw.gov.a			
DoP Planning Offi Contact Name : Contact Number : Contact Email : RPA Contact Deta	cer Contact Details Gina Davis 0267019687 gina.davis@planning.nsw.gov.a ils			
DoP Planning Offi Contact Name : Contact Number : Contact Email : RPA Contact Deta Contact Name :	cer Contact Details Gina Davis 0267019687 gina.davis@planning.nsw.gov.a ils Lachlan Black			
DoP Planning Offi Contact Name : Contact Number : Contact Email : RPA Contact Deta Contact Name : Contact Number : Contact Email :	cer Contact Details Gina Davis 0267019687 gina.davis@planning.nsw.gov.a ils Lachlan Black 0266320293			
DoP Planning Offi Contact Name : Contact Number : Contact Email : RPA Contact Deta Contact Name : Contact Number : Contact Email :	cer Contact Details Gina Davis 0267019687 gina.davis@planning.nsw.gov.a ils Lachlan Black 0266320293 council@kyogle.nsw.gov.au			
DoP Planning Offi Contact Name : Contact Number : Contact Email : RPA Contact Deta Contact Name : Contact Number : Contact Email : DoP Project Manag	cer Contact Details Gina Davis 0267019687 gina.davis@planning.nsw.gov.a ils Lachlan Black 0266320293 council@kyogle.nsw.gov.au ger Contact Details			
DoP Planning Offi Contact Name : Contact Number : Contact Email : RPA Contact Deta Contact Name : Contact Number : Contact Email : DoP Project Manag Contact Name :	cer Contact Details Gina Davis 0267019687 gina.davis@planning.nsw.gov.a ils Lachlan Black 0266320293 council@kyogle.nsw.gov.au ger Contact Details Tamara Prentice	IJ		
DoP Planning Offi Contact Name : Contact Number : Contact Email : RPA Contact Deta Contact Name : Contact Number : Contact Email : DoP Project Manage Contact Name : Contact Name :	cer Contact Details Gina Davis 0267019687 gina.davis@planning.nsw.gov.a ils Lachlan Black 0266320293 council@kyogle.nsw.gov.au ger Contact Details Tamara Prentice 0266416610 tamara.prentice@planning.nsw.	IJ		
DoP Planning Offi Contact Name : Contact Number : Contact Email : RPA Contact Deta Contact Name : Contact Number : Contact Email : DoP Project Manage Contact Name : Contact Name : Contact Number : Contact Number :	cer Contact Details Gina Davis 0267019687 gina.davis@planning.nsw.gov.a ils Lachlan Black 0266320293 council@kyogle.nsw.gov.au ger Contact Details Tamara Prentice 0266416610 tamara.prentice@planning.nsw.	IJ	N/A	

	Date of Release :	
	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
0	No of Jobs Created :	0
Yes		
Yes		
	-	
Council proposes to amend the landuse tables of the RU1 Primary Production and RU2 Rural Landscape Zones in the Kyogle LEP 2012 to allow for caravan parks to be permitted with consent. The purpose of this amendment is to aid in creating a more diverse rural tourist market and in turn a stronger local economy. In order to ensure that landuse conflicts are avoided and/or minimised, Council has also resolved to update their DCP specifically for caravan parks in rural areas.		
	0 Yes The Department of Planning & En and meetings with Lobbyists has knowledge. Yes Northern Region has not met any Region been advised of any meet concerning this proposal. Council proposes to amend the la Rural Landscape Zones in the Kys with consent. The purpose of this tourist market and in turn a strong	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) : 0 No. of Dwellings (where relevant) : 0 No of Jobs Created : Yes Yes The Department of Planning & Environment Code of Practice and meetings with Lobbyists has been complied with to the I knowledge. Yes Northern Region has not met any lobbyists in relation to this Region been advised of any meeting between other departme concerning this proposal. Council proposes to amend the landuse tables of the RU1 Pr Rural Landscape Zones in the Kyogle LEP 2012 to allow for of with consent. The purpose of this amendment is to aid in cree tourist market and in turn a stronger local economy. In order

Comment :

The statement of objectives adequately describes the intention of the planning proposal. The planning proposal seeks to amend the Kyogle Local Environmental Plan (LEP) 2012 by inserting caravan parks within the RU1 and RU2 zones as being permissible with consent.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment : The Planning Proposal provides an adequate explanation of the intended provisions to achieve the objectives and intended outcomes.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :	1.2 Rural Zones 1.5 Rural Lands
* May need the Director General's agreement	2.1 Environment Protection Zones 2.3 Heritage Conservation
	2.4 Recreation Vehicle Areas

Is the Director General's c) Consistent with Standard d) Which SEPPs have the I e) List any other matters that need to be considered : Have inconsistencies with i If No, explain : Mapping Provided - s5 Is mapping provided - s5	Instrument (LEPs) O	
 c) Consistent with Standard d) Which SEPPs have the I e) List any other matters that need to be considered : Have inconsistencies with i If No, explain : Mapping Provided - s53 Is mapping provided? No Comment : Community consultation 	Instrument (LEPs) O	rder 2006 : Yes SEPP No 21—Caravan Parks
 d) Which SEPPs have the I e) List any other matters that need to be considered : Have inconsistencies with i If No, explain : Mapping Provided - s53 Is mapping provided? No Comment : Community consultation Has community consultation 		SEPP No 21—Caravan Parks
e) List any other matters that need to be considered : Have inconsistencies with i If No, explain : Mapping Provided - s5 Is mapping provided? No Comment : Community consultation Has community consultation	RPA identified?	
matters that need to be considered : Have inconsistencies with i If No, explain : Mapping Provided - s5 Is mapping provided? No Comment : Community consultation Has community consultation		
If No, explain : Mapping Provided - s5 Is mapping provided? No Comment : Community consultation Has community consultation		
Mapping Provided - s5 Is mapping provided? No Comment : Community consultation Has community consultation	tems a), b) and d) beir	ng adequately justified? Yes
Is mapping provided? No Comment : Community consultation Has community consultation		
Comment : Community consultation Has community consultation	5(2)(d)	
Community consultation		
Has community consultation		ges to KLEP 2012 will involve an amendment to the written astrument only. No mapping is required.
	on - s55(2)(e)	
Commont :	n been proposed? Yes	5
Comment.	Council have identified a 36 day timeframe for community consultation. In accordance with section 5.5.2 community consultation of A Guide to Preparing LEP's a 14 day exhibition period is considered appropriate due to the minor local nature of the proposal.	
Additional Director Ger	neral's requiremen	nts
Are there any additional Dir	ector General's require	ements? No
If Yes, reasons :		
Overall adequacy of the	e proposal	
Does the proposal meet the	e adequacy criteria? Y	es
If No, comment :	adequacy criteria by 1. Providing approp 2. Providing a suital outcomes;	riate objectives and intended outcomes; ble explanation of the provisions proposed by the LEP to achieve the quate justification for the proposal; and
		not specifically outlined a community consultation program in is able to be conditioned in a manner that will ensure satisfactory nent.
		In authorisation to exercise its plan making delegations. As the leals only with matters of local significance, it is considered

ì

appropriate that an authorisation to exercise plan making delegations be issued to Council.

The RPA has provided a project time line which estimates that the LEP will be ready for submission to the Department for notification in November 2016. This timeframe is considered inadequate despite the minor, local nature of the proposal and a 6 month time frame is recommended.

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date :

Comments in relation Kyogle LEP 2012 came into effect in February 2013. This proposal amends Kyogle LEP 2012. to Principal LEP :

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning
proposal :The proposal to amend the LEP is not the subject of a specific strategic study or report.Council has resolved to amend the landuse tables of the RU1 Primary Production and RU2
Rural Landscape zones to allow for caravan parks to be permitted with consent. Council
considers caravan parks to be a compatible use in both the RU1 and RU2 zones
particularly as a way of boosting rural tourism in the LGA. The proposed changes will also
bring the Kyogle LEP into line with the LEP's of surrounding councils who generally permit
caravan parks in either the RU1 or RU2 zones.In order to support the tourist intent of the proposal, Council through the development
application process, intends to only permit caravan parks that have short term sites with no
long term residents.

Consistency with strategic planning framework :	Far North Coast Regional Strategy and Draft North Coast Regional Plan The proposal to allow for caravan parks to be permitted with consent in the RU1 and RU2 zones is not inconsistent with the provisions of the Far North Coast Regional Strategy or the draft North Coast Regional Plan.
	Local Strategies The planning proposal is not inconsistent with Council's Community Strategic Plan 2016-2026.
	SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008 The SEPP recogniseS the importance of rural lands and the changing nature of agriculture and the need for balancing the social, economic and environmental interests of the community. As the proposal relates to rural land, both of these pieces of legislation are relevant to the proposal.
	Council has identified the planning proposal as consistent with SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008. The proposal is however inconsistent with the SEPP and the Rural Planning Principles as it proposes an additional landuse that has the potential to detrimentally impact the future use of agricultural land. The inconsistency is considered to be of minor significance in this instance as the demand for caravan parks is expected to be relatively low in the LGA based on its projected population growth and distance from higher demand tourist locations along the coastline, as long term residents within the caravan parks are not anticipated, and as it only aligns Kyogle's planning controls closer with the adjoining LGAs which already permit caravan parks in either their RU1 or RU2 Zone.
	SEPP 36 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates It is noted that permitting caravans parks in the RU1 or RU2 Zones will also permit manufactured home estates under the provisions of SEPP 36. While this not considered desirable, this situation already exists in the RU1 Zone in the adjoining Lismore and Tenterfield LGAs with no evidence of poor development outcomes having occurred as a result. It is also considered that the issue can be dealt with appropriately through the
	development application process consistent with Council's intent to primarily permit short term tourist opportunities.
	S117 Directions The proposal is considered to be consistent with all relevant s117 Directions except in relation to the following:
	1.5 Rural Lands The proposal is inconsistent with this Direction as it is unable to comply with all the Rural Planning Principles contained in the SEPP (Rural Lands). As discussed above, this inconsistency is considered to be of minor significance as the demand for caravan parks is
	expected to be relatively low in the LGA based on its projected population growth and distance from higher demand tourist locations along the coastline, as long term residents within the caravan parks are not anticipated, and as it only aligns Kyogle's planning controls closer with the adjoining LGAs which already permit caravan parks in either their RU1 or RU2 Zone.
	4.3 Flood Prone Land This direction is relevant as the RU1 and RU2 Zones contain land affected by flooding. The inconsistency with this direction is considered to be of minor significance as the Kyogle LEP already contains flood prone land management provisions that can adequately deal with this issue at the development application stage.
	4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection This Direction applies as the proposal will apply across the RU1 and RU2 Zones which both include bushfire prone land.
	The Direction requires Council to consult with the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service after a Gateway determination has been issued. Until this consultation occurs the consistency of the proposal with this Direction remains unresolved.

The planning proposal is otherwise consistent with the s117 Directions.

Environmental social In order to avoid any adverse environmental, social or economic impact, Council intends economic impacts : In order to avoid any adverse environmental, social or economic impact, Council intends to amend the Kyogle DCP 2014 to include development guidelines relevant to caravan parks in rural areas. These will then be taken into consideration at the DA stage on a case by case basis. This is considered an appropriate method of managing and avoiding any adverse potential environmental, social or economic impacts.

Assessment Process

Proposal type :	Routine		Community Consultation Period :	14 Days	
Timeframe to make LEP :	6 months		Delegation :	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)	NSW Rural Fire Ser	vice			
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No			
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	No			
If no, provide reasons :					
Resubmission - s56(2)(l	b) : No				
If Yes, reasons :					
Identify any additional st	tudies, if required, :				
If Other, provide reason	s :				
Identify any internal con	sultations, if required :				
No internal consultatio	n required				
Is the provision and fund	ding of state infrastruct	ure relevant	to this plan? No		
If Yes, reasons :					
ocuments					
Document File Name			DocumentType Na	ame	ls Public
Kyogle LEP 2012 Amer Kyogle LEP 2012 Amer Kyogle LEP 2012 Amer	ndment no 10_cover le	-	Proposal Proposal Coverin Proposal	g Letter	Yes Yes Yes

4_completed.pdf

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:

1.2 Rural Zones 1.5 Rural Lands 2.1 Environment Protection Zones 2.3 Heritage Conservation

Kyogle LEP 2012 - Ame	ndment No 10 - Caravan Parks in RU1 & RU2 Zones		
	2.4 Recreation Vehicle Areas 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates 3.3 Home Occupations		
	4.3 Flood Prone Land		
	4.5 Plotter Protection		
	5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies		
	5.3 Farmland of State and Regional Significance on the NSW Far North Coast		
	6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements		
	6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes		
Additional Information :	It is recommended that:		
	1. The Planning Proposal be supported;		
	2. The Planning Proposal be exhibited for 14 days;		
	3. The Planning Proposal be completed within 6 months;		
	4. The Planning Proposal is to be updated prior to exhibition to amend Part 5 Community		
	Consultation to provide greater detail on the exhibition timeframe and methods (local		
	newspaper, Council website) by which community consultation will take place;		
	5. That the Secretary (or her delegate) agree that the inconsistencies with section 117		
	Directions 1.5 Rural Lands and 4.3 Flood Prone Land are of minor significance;		
	6. That the Secretary (or her delegate) note the current inconsistency with section 117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection; 7. That the RPA consult with the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service in		
	accordance with the requirements of S117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection; and		
	8. A written authorisation to exercise delegation be issued to Kyogle Shire Council in this instance.		
Supporting Reasons :	The proposal will enable certain rural owners to consider an alternate use that has the potential to boost the shire's rural tourism market. This in turn will help to diversify the local economy, particularly those sectors supported tourism. The amendment will also better align Kyogle's planning controls and the approach to caravan parks in rural areas with the adjoining LGAs.		
Signature:	D:		
Printed Name:	Cracy Day Date: 11 August 2016		